PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From INTE	the RNATIONAL SEAI	RCHING AUTH	ORITY				
To:					PCT		
see form PCT/ISA/220				WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43 bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/SA210 (second sheet)			
	licant's or agent's file form PCT/ISA/2			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
1	International application No. International filing date (INTERNATIONAL POTABEOU4/002863 03.09.2004			day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 08.09.2003		
ı		sification (IPC) or	both national classification	and IPC			
	1N2/00						
	icant UDARBOCUS, S	Siddick Moham	ned Ahad				
_							
1.	This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
	Box No. I	Basis of the o	oinion				
	Box No. Ⅱ	Priority					
	Box No. III	Non-establish	ment of opinion with reg	ard to novelty, inventiv	e step and industrial applicability		
ĺ	☐ Box No. IV	/ Lack of unity of invention					
	☑ Box No. V		tement under Rule 43 <i>bl</i> : itations and explanation		novelty, inventive step or industrial ement		
	☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited						
ļ			s in the international app				
l	☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application						
2.	2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to							
	submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.							
3.							
Nar	me and mailing addre	ess of the ISA:		Authorized Officer	- San Principle		
-		Patent Office			i la		
	D-802981	Munich		Lohmann, S	\ <u>\</u>		
-		19 2399 - 0 Tx: 52 89 2399 - 4465	soco epmu a	Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-2328		

Form (PCT/ISA/237) (Cover Sheet) (January 2004)

 $x_{i_1} = x_{i_1}$

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002863

_	Box	C No	o. I Basis of the opinion			
1.	With regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
		lar	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following iguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search inder Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).			
2.	. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type of material:					
	ı		a sequence listing			
	ı	-	table(s) related to the sequence listing			
	b. f	orm	at of material:			
	!		in written format			
	١		in computer readable form			
c. time of filing/furnishing:		of filing/furnishing:				
	1		contained in the international application as filed.			
	1		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.			
	İ		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.			
3.		ha co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto is been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.			
4.	Additional comments:					

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002863

Box No. II Priority

- 1.

 The following document has not been furnished:
 - © copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(a)).
 - ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

- 2. This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.
- 3.
 It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.
- 4. Additional observations, if necessary:

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002863

Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
The questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:						
the entire international application,						
claims Nos. 20-22						
because:						
ne said international application, or the said claims Nos. 20-22 relate to the following subject matter hich does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):						
see separate sheet						
the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):						
the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.						
no international search report has been established for the whole application or for said claims Nos. 20-22						
the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:						
the written form						
does not comply with the standard						
the computer readable form has not been furnished						
☐ does not comply with the standard						
the tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do not comply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.						
☐ See senarate sheet for further details						

International application No. PCT/IB2004/002863

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

6-11, 18, 19

No: Claims

1-5, 12-17

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

6-11, 18, 19

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims No: Claims 1.10

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/IB2004/002863

Re Item III.

The method of treating a subject in need of enhanced sexual function as defined in claims 20-22 is regarded to be a method for therapeutic treatment of the human or animal body. Therefore, said claims have not been searched. Moreover, according to Article 34(4)(a)(i) PCT and Rule 67.1(iv) PCT, no international preliminary examination is required to be carried out on these claims.

Re Item V.

1 The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: US 6 348 033 B1 (CATLETT JAMES A) 19 February 2002

D2: GB 2 082 915 A (KONO NAMIO) 17 March 1982

D3: WO 03/000336 A (DR SUMATHI PATURU M D) 3 January 2003

2 INDEPENDENT CLAIM 1

The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses a device for implementing and maintaining an erection of the penis, said device having magnets (e.g. "magnetic portion members" <u>26</u> in Fig. 1) as "energy source", which is capable of being placed in proximity to the skin of the subject (i.e. the penis, cf. I. 46-59 in col. 5).

It is indicated, that the subject-matter of claim 1 is also anticipated by documents D2 and D3.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-19

Dependent claims 2-19 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step (Article 33(2) and (3) PCT).

Form PCT/Separate Sheet/237 (Sheet 1) (EPO-January 2004)